



**CANYON GOLF PUMP STATION FACILITY (RFQ)
Solicitation No. PS-00159**

**ADDENDUM NO. 1
November 15, 2023**

To Respondent of Record:

RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS

- 1. Question: In Attachment II, in reference to the organizational chart, does SAWS want to see those specific key personnel roles they provided as examples presented in our team that we submit for this SOQ?**

Response: Yes, but key roles are not limited to the examples provided.

- 2. Question: In Attachment II, for the Similar Projects and Past Performance, can SAWS please define what they mean when they want to see “completed” projects?**

Response: Completed projects are projects where construction has been completed, accepted by the owner, and closed out.

- 3. Question: In Attachment II, for the Project Understanding and Approach, in item 2, there is a repeat in the bullet points 2 and 4, can SAWS please confirm if they want this information repeated in this section of our submittal?**

Response: Respondents will only need to address once in their submittal. See #1 Changes to RFQ.

- 4. Question: The RFQ mentions modeling with Visual Hydraulics and BioWin, but this type of modeling does not seem applicable to this project. Can SAWS confirm if the water modeling efforts will be limited to confirmation of the tank and pumping hydraulic criteria?**

Response: Yes, water modeling efforts will be limited to confirmation of the tank and pumping hydraulic criteria. Use appropriate modeling software for the project needs.

- 5. Question: Does SAWS anticipate the need for chemical storage and dosing systems to be included in this design?**

Response: No, we do not anticipate the need for chemical storage and dosing systems to be included in this design.

- 6. Question: With respect to the pre-submittal conference slide #10, please confirm if the projects completed should be within the past fifteen or ten years for the three (3) similar projects?**

Response: Projects should be completed in the last fifteen (15) years, per Section 1 of Attachment II, Evaluation Criteria Details and Requirements, Similar Projects and Past Performance. The pre submittal presentation has been updated.

7. **Question: With respect to the pre-submittal conference slide #34, please clarify if the approximate 7,000 L.F. 24" water main will require a separate RFQ**

Response: The 24" water main will be a separate RFQ and Project that will be issued at a later time.

CHANGES TO RFQ

1. Attachment II, Evaluation Criteria Details and Requirements, Project Understanding and Approach, Section 2:

Remove duplicate bullet # 4 - Describe Respondent's approach for coordinating with regulatory and permitting agencies to ensure buy-in and approval. Response should be part of bullet #2.

2. Attachment II, Evaluation Criteria Details and Requirements, Team Experience and Qualifications, Section 2:

Remove and replace bullet #5 with the following revised language:

Identify three (3) similar projects completed in the past fifteen (15) years and provide a detailed description of capabilities and project experience and role in project relevant to the Scope of Services requested within this RFQ. Clearly identify whether the projects listed are with the current firm or part of the individual's professional experience.

3. Revised Evaluation Criteria forms have been removed and replaced on the SAWS website to capture the revised language for Team Experience and Qualifications and Project Approach.

https://apps.saws.org/Business_Center/Contractsol/Drill.cfm?id=4293&View=Yes

END OF ADDENDUM 1

This addendum is two (2) pages in its entirety with no attachments.